IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

JOHN H. BENGE, JR.,

Case 1:08-cv-00078-GMS

•

Petitioner,

•

v. : Civ. Act. No. 08-078-GMS

CIV. Act. 110. 00-076-01015

MICHAEL DELOY, Warden,

and the ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE.

Respondents.

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Pursuant to Rule 6 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, respondents move for an extension of time in which to file an answer to the petition. In support thereof, respondents state the following:

- 1. The petitioner, John H. Benge, Jr., has applied for federal habeas relief, filing a habeas petition challenging his guilty plea in Superior Court to a weapons offense and two counts of criminal contempt of a protection form abuse order. The answer to the petition was originally due on May 5 (D.I. 7); respondents have obtained extensions of time to may 30, June 18, July 3, and August 1 in which to file the answer to the petition.
- 2. The case was reassigned to the undersigned in July. Counsel has had to draft an unanticipated supplemental memorandum in another case in addition to her substantial caseload. Although substantially complete, counsel has been unable to finalize the answer and have it reviewed by her supervisor in time to file the answer today. In light of the situation, additional time is needed. Counsel anticipates that she can complete the answer over the weekend and have the review completed on Monday for filing the next day.

3. Under Habeas Rule 4, the Court has the discretion to give respondents an extension of time exceeding the 40-day limit in Civil Rule 81(a)(2). *Clutchette v. Rushen*, 770 F.2d 1469, 1473-74 & n.4 (9th Cir. 1985); *Kramer v. Jenkins*, 108 F.R.D. 429, 431-32 (N.D. III. 1985). The comment to Rule 4 expressly states that the district court has "the discretion to take into account various factors such as the respondent's workload" in determining the period of time that should be allowed to answer the petition.

- 4. This is respondents' fifth request for an extension of time in this case.
- 5. Respondents submit that an extension of time to and including August 5, 2008, in which to file an answer is reasonable. Respondents submit herewith a proposed order.

/s/ Elizabeth R. McFarlan Deputy Attorney General Department of Justice 820 N. French Street Wilmington, DE 19801 (302) 577-8500 Del. Bar. ID No. 3759

DATE: August 1, 2008

RULE 7.1.1 CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that I have neither sought nor obtained the consent of the petitioner, who

is in the custody of the Delaware Department of Correction and appearing pro se, to the subject

matter of this motion.

/s/ Elizabeth R. McFarlan

Deputy Attorney General

Counsel for Respondents

Date: August 1, 2008

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

JOHN H. BENGE, JR.,	:
Petitioner,	; ;
v.	: Civ. Act. No. 08-078-GMS
MICHAEL DELOY, Warden, and the ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE,	: : :
Respondents.	: :
	ORDER
Thisday of	, 2008,
WHEREAS, respondents having	requested an extension of time in which to file ar
answer, and	
WHEREAS, it appearing to the C	Court that the requested extension is timely made and
good cause has been shown for the extensi	ion,
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that 1	respondents' answer shall be filed on or before Augus
5, 2008.	
	United States District Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 1, 2008, I electronically filed a motion for extension of time with the Clerk of Court using CM/ECF. I also hereby certify that on August 1, 2008, I have mailed by United States Postal Service, the same document to the following non-registered participant:

John H. Benge, Jr. SBI No. 494395 Sussex Correctional Center P.O. Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

/s/ Elizabeth R. McFarlan
Deputy Attorney General
Department of Justice
820 N. French Street
Wilmington, DE 19801
(302) 577-8500
Del. Bar. ID No. 3759
elizabeth.mcfarlan@state.de.us